Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Magnetic Resonance Imaging



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mri

Original contribution

A new class of cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode T1 and T2 contrast agent for MRI



Akbar Alipour^a, Zeliha Soran-Erdem^{a,1}, Mustafa Utkur^a, Vijay Kumar Sharma^{a,c}, Oktay Algin^{a,b}, Emine Ulku Saritas^{a,d}, Hilmi Volkan Demir^{a,c,*}

^a Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering-National Magnetic Resonance Research Center (UMRAM), National Nanotechnology Research Center (UNAM), Department of Physics, Bilkent University, Bilkent, Ankara 06800, Turkey

^b Department of Radiology, Ankara Atatürk Training and Research Hospital, Ankara 06800, Turkey

^c LUMINOUS! Center of Excellence for Semiconductor Lighting and Displays, School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences,

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798, Singapore

^d Neuroscience Program, Sabuncu Brain Research Center, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Dual-mode MRI contrast agents Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles MRI relaxivity Contrast enhancement

ABSTRACT

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) are widely used as a robust negative contrast agent on conventional MRI. In this study, we (a) synthesized a new class of cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode contrast agent in MRI and (b) showed the in-vivo feasibility of these nanaoparticles as a simultaneous positive and negative contrast agent. Relaxation properties and contrast enhancement analysis of the synthesized SPIONs with two different shapes (cubic vs. spherical) and three different sizes 7 nm, 11 nm, and 14 nm were investigated to evaluate contrast enhancement in-vitro. In-vivo MRI experiments were performed on a 3T MR scanner, where a healthy anesthetized rat was imaged before, and from 20 to 80 min after intravenous injection of 1 mg/kg of contrast agent. Representative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the synthesized nanoparticles reveal that the particles are well dispersed in a solvent and do not aggregate. The in-vitro relaxivity and contrast enhancement analysis show that, among all six SPIONs tested, 11-nm cubic SPIONs possess optimal molar relaxivities and contrast enhancement values, which can shorten the spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation times. simultaneously. No noticeable toxicity is observed during in-vitro cytotoxicity analysis. In-vivo T1-and T2weighted acquisitions at 60-min post-injection of 11-nm cubic SPIONs result in 64% and 48% contrast enhancement on the T₁-and T₂-weighted images, respectively. By controlling the shape and size of SPIONs, we have introduced a new class of cubic SPIONs as a synergistic (dual-mode) MRI contrast agent. 11-nm cubic SPIONs with smaller size and high positive and negative contrast enhancements were selected as a promising candidate for dual-mode contrast agent. Our proof-of-concept MRI experiments on rat demonstrate the in-vivo dual-mode contrast enhancement feasibility of these nanoparticles.

1. Introduction

Superior soft tissue contrast is a major advantage of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) over alternative medical imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and X-ray [1,2]. The difference of spin-lattice (T₁) and spin-spin (T₂) relaxation times among tissues, is the origin of the unique soft tissue contrast in MRI. However, in some applications, difference in relaxation parameters may be insufficient to provide reliable discrimination of tissue structure. In these instances, the use of contrast agents that alter relaxivity can significantly enhance the contrast between target tissues and the background. These contrast agents are usually in the form of T_1 or T_2 contrast agents [3–6]. T_1 agents are commonly paramagnetic materials that increase longitudinal relaxivity and thereby yield higher signal levels [7,8]. While superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) with ultrasmall size (*e.g.*, around 36 nm diameter) can also be used as T_1 contrast agents, currently the Gadolinium species with seven unpaired electrons are the dominant positive contrast agent used in clinical applications [9,10]. On the other hand, T_2 agents are typically SPIONs that induce magnetic field perturbations and increase transverse relaxivity, which in turn reduces signal levels [11–13]. However, the difficulty in distinguishing a region of weak signal due to SPIONs from regions that suffer from

* Corresponding author at: Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bilkent University, Bilkent, 06800 Ankara, Turkey.

E-mail address: Volkan@bilket.edu.tr (H.V. Demir).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2017.09.013

Received 19 March 2017; Received in revised form 29 August 2017; Accepted 24 September 2017 0730-725X/@ 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

¹ This author has equal contribution.

other sources of signal loss (*e.g.*, susceptibility differences among tissues, B₀ field inhomogeneity) is a drawback of T₂ contrast agents [14–16]. One solution to this problem is to utilize SPIONs as dual-modal contrast agents. In MRI, T₁-weighted images typically provide better spatial resolution, while T₂-weighted images can provide enhanced detection of lesions [17]. Hence, a synergistic combination of T₁- and T₂-contrast-enhanced imaging can potentially provide more comprehensive imaging information and lead to higher diagnostic accuracy [18].

To date, numerous studies have considered developing methods for synthesizing simultaneous T₁ and T₂ contrast agents. For this purpose, hybrid nanoparticles have recently been developed, where the Gadolinium species integrated with iron oxide nanoparticles in a coreshell format systematically demonstrated the feasibility of such hybrid probes [19]. In another study, Mn-Fe heterodoped ZnSe tetrapod nanocrystals were proposed to simultaneously enhance contrast in both T_1 - and T_2 -weighted MRI [20]. However, the use of hybrid materials as in these two examples may cause magnetic interactions of nanoparticles, which in turn result in the perturbation of the relaxation process [21]. An alternative method for achieving dual-mode contrast agent is to utilize a single material for enabling multiple contrasts. For example, Jung et al. generated both negative and positive image contrasts by manipulating the pulse sequences together with the use of sizecontrolled SPIONs [22]. In another study, T₁-weighted positive contrast obtained from SPIONs using ultrashort-echo-time was reported by Kwon et al. [23]. In the clinic, Ferumoxytol (an ultrasmall SPION) can be utilized to obtain regional T_1 and T_2^* signal enhancement or loss with conventional pulse sequences [24]. However, SPION's performance as MRI contrast agents strongly depends on their size and shape. Hence, as dual-mode contrast agents are gaining interest, there is a strong need to investigate both features to reach a high performance synergistic contrast agent.

In this study, by taking advantage of the strong shape and size dependence of SPION's performance, we synthesized a new class of cubic SPIONs as a synergistic T_1 - and T_2 -contrast-enhancement agent for MRI. We compared the performance of these cubic SPIONs with their spherical counterparts *via* measurements of molar relaxivities (r_1 and r_2) on a 3T MRI scanner. Here, we used silica for surface modification, since previous reports showed negligible toxicity level for nano-sized silica shells. As a feasibility study, both positive and negative contrast effects of 11-nm cubic SPIONs were demonstrated *in-vivo* on T_1 - and T_2 -weighted spin-echo MRI images obtained before and after intravenous injection of 1 mg/kg contrast agent trough the tail vein of a Sprague-Dawley rat.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Theory

The magnetic properties of SPIONs are affected by their crystallinity and size, which in turn significantly affects the MR relaxivity properties of the SPIONs. Accordingly, as the particle size increases the corresponding saturation magnetization increases, enhancing the T₂ (transverse) relaxation of the surrounding tissue. On the other hand, as the particle size decreases, both the surface-to-volume ratio and the percentage of unpaired electrons on the particle surface increases. The interaction of unpaired electrons with the protons of water molecules reduces the T₁ (longitudinal) relaxation time of the protons and results in MRI signal enhancement, and this effect is more pronounced for small-sized SPIONs [25]. In addition, the reduced magnetic moments of these smaller particles suppress their T2 effect. Moreover, particles with sharp surfaces (for example cubic particles) possess higher surface-tovolume ratio in comparison with spherical particles, causing them to exhibit improved T₁ and T₂ effects. Therefore, the shape anisotropy and large effective region of magnetic cores in cubic samples can increase their T2-contrast-enhancement capabilities, and large surface-tovolume ratio and consequently a large number of unpaired electrons on their cubic surface can increase their T_1 -contrast-enhancement capabilities [26,27].

In the well-known form, T_1 and T_2 can be represented in terms of their corresponding R_1 and R_2 relaxation rates, respectively [28]. The relaxation rates are functions of unenhanced tissue relaxation rates (R_{10} and R_{20}) in s^{-1} , longitudinal and transverse Molar relaxivities (r_1 and r_2) in mM⁻¹ s⁻¹, and iron concentration (C) in mM. Using the standard linear relaxivity approximation, the relaxation rate can be written as:

$$R_1 = R_{10} + r_1. C$$
(1)

$$R_2 = R_{20} + r_2. C \tag{2}$$

where

$$R_x = \frac{1}{T_x}$$
 and $R_{x0} = \frac{1}{T_{x0}}$ (x is either 1 or 2)

In the current study, the MR contrast optimization is conducted with multi-echo spin-echo sequences and inversion recovery sequences for transverse and longitudinal relaxation estimation, respectively. Under these approximations, the T_1 -weighted and T_2 -weighted signals can be estimated by the following equations:

For longitudinal relaxation:

$$I_{z} = M_{z}^{0} \left[1 - 2 \exp\left\{\frac{-TI}{T1}\right\} + \exp\left\{\frac{-TR}{T1}\right\} \right]$$
$$= M_{z}^{0} \left[1 - 2 \exp\{-TI(R_{10} + r_{1}. C)\} + \exp\{-TR(R_{10} + r_{1}. C)\} \right]$$
(3)

and for transverse relaxation:

$$I_{xy} = M_z^0 \exp\left\{\frac{-TE}{T_2}\right\} = M_z^0 \exp\{-TE(R_{20} + r_2, C)\}$$
(4)

Here, TE is the echo time, TI is the inversion time, TR is the repetition time, and M_z^0 is the thermal equilibrium value of bulk magnetization vector. TE and TI are image acquisition parameters controlled by the operator. T_1 and T_2 depend on the surrounding medium and the magnetic field strength.

As the contrast agents shorten the spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation times, the ratio of their molar relaxivities ($\alpha = r_2/r_1$) is generally used to describe the dominant contrast agent features and their contrast enhancement efficiency [29]. Accordingly, α is a parameter comparing the effectiveness of T_1 and T_2 contrast mechanisms, and this parameter strongly depends on particle properties, contrast regime, and B_0 field strength [30].

2.2. Materials

For the synthesis of SPIONs, the following materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO): oleic acid (tech 90%), iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (99.99%), Igepal-CO520 (polyoxyethylene (5) nonyl phenyl ether), ammonia (28 wt%), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS 99%) and 1-octadecene (tech 90%). Hexane, sodium hydroxide, cyclohexane, ethanol and other reagents were obtained from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. (Germany). All other chemicals were of analytic grade and used directly without further purification.

2.3. Synthesis of SPIONs

In this work, six different types of SPION samples were synthesized: 7-nm spherical, 7-nm cubic, 11-nm spherical, 11-nm cubic, 14-nm spherical, and 14-nm cubic. For the cubic nanoparticles, nanoparticle size was measured diagonally. For all samples, iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized using a modified procedure reported by Byung et al. [15]. Briefly, 20 g (25 mL) of 1-octadecene (ODE) solution, oleic acid and freshly synthesized iron-oleate were stirred in a three-neck bottle



Fig. 1. TEM image of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs reveals a well-defined, monodisperse morphology (a). DLS size distribution result reports the overall nanoparticle hydrodynamic size up to \sim 18 nm (b).



Fig. 2. Representative T_1 -weighted (TR/TE = 3000/12 ms, TI = 800 ms, and matrix size = 256×256 , reformatted for display purposes) and T_2 -weighted (TR/TE = 2000/100 ms, and matrix size = 256×256 , reformatted for display purposes) MRI images obtained from vial phantoms with increasing concentration of SPIONs at 3 T (a). Example signal-to-time curves of T_1 recovery (left) and T_2 decay (right) of 11-nm cubic SPIONs obtained by inversion recovery and multi-echo spin-echo sequences, respectively. The data points are fitted to mono-exponential functions given in Eqs. (3) and (4) to estimate $T_1 = 500$ ms and $T_2 = 35$ ms values for 11-nm cubic SPIONs at 0.4 mM concentration (b).

flask and degassed at 70 °C for 2-hour under vacuum. Then, the temperature of the reaction mixture was increased to 320 °C with constant heating rates of $3.3 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹, $4.2 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ or $5.5 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ under argon flow. The growth of the SPIONs was done at 320 °C for 30 min. Following the growth, the solution was cooled down to room temperature and SPIONs were cleaned with 2-propanol and acetone for three times. Then, SPIONs were dispersed in 16 mL of hexane and filtered using $0.2 \,\mu$ m Millipore filter. In this work, we achieved the differences in the size and shape of SPIONs by varying the oleic acid

amount, heating rate, and iron-oleate amount of the mixture.

2.4. Surface modification

Principally, nanoparticles must possess low toxicity and good colloidal stability in a biological environment to be suitable for *in-vivo* applications, which mandates a surface modification of these nanoparticles. Formation of biocompatible shells surrounding the nanoparticles is a typical method for surface modification. Briefly, 10 mL of



Fig. 3. Measured relaxation rates $(r_1 \text{ and } r_2)$ of spherical particles with the size of 7-nm, 11-nm, and 14-nm in diagonal (b) at 3 T. Plots show the change in relaxation rates as a function of iron concentration. Lines represent a linear fit for all data points for calculating Molar relaxivities r_1 and r_2 (R_{01} and R_{02} were not included on molar relaxivities calculations). The concentrations of SPIONs were 0.025 mM, 0.05 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.2 mM, 0.3 mM, 0.4 mM, 1 mM for the molar relaxivities measurement.

Tabl	e 1	
------	-----	--

Molar relaxivities measured at 3 T.

Group A spherical SPION				Group B cubic SPION			
Particle	7 nm	11 nm	14 nm	7 nm	11 nm	14 nm	
$r_1 (mM^{-1} s^{-1})$	0.5	1.2	3.2	1.1	3.4	4.2	
$r_2 (mM^{-1} s^{-1})$	0.6	9.8	40	3.8	36.8	68.8	
$\alpha = r_2/r_1$	1.2	8.2	12.5	3.4	10.8	16.4	

cyclohexane and 1.3 mL of Igepal-CO520 (polyoxyethylene (5) nonyl phenyl ether) were stirred for 15 min to obtain a microemulsion dispersion. Followed by the addition of 1.5 mg iron oxide nanoparticles (in 1.6 mL of cyclohexane), the mixture was continuously stirred for further 15 min. Subsequently, $40 \ \mu$ L of TEOS was added, and the solution was stirred for 30 min in the dark. Finally, 150 μ L of ammonium hydroxide (1.5%) solution was added, and the mixture was stirred for 72 h. For the cleaning of resulting silica-coated iron oxide nanoparticles, the solution was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 20 min. After discarding the supernatant, 10 mL of ethanol was added, and the nanoparticles were dispersed using an ultrasonic bath. This dispersion was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 40 min, and the same procedure was repeated one more time. At the end, the supernatant was removed, and

6 mL of autoclaved ddH_2O and 24 μL of 2 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution were added.

2.5. Characterization

Morphological structures of the iron oxide nanoparticles were investigated using an FEI Tecnai G2 F30 transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Before imaging, $2 \mu L$ of iron oxide nanoparticle solution in hexane was dropped on a 200 mesh copper grid, and the sample was dried. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement was conducted to study nanoparticles size distributions.

2.6. In-vitro relaxivity measurement

For *in-vitro* relaxivity analysis, solutions of all six types of SPIONs in varying concentrations were prepared in hexane to measure at 3T MRI scanner (Siemens Magnetron Trio, $f_0 = 123$ MHz) using a 32-channel receive-only head coil (Siemens). The medium containing SPIONs was systematically diluted with hexane over the range of concentrations (0.025–1 mM) in glass vials (10 mm in diameter and 20 mm in height). The vials were placed vertically within the head coil. Experiments were performed at room temperature. T₁ values were measured using an

Sample	T ₁ -W MRI image	T ₂ -W MRI image	C _{Enh} (T ₁ -W) %	C _{Enh} (T ₂ -W) %	SNR (T ₁ -W)	SNR (T ₂ -W)
Reference Phan- tom	-	•	0	0	8.1	92.5
7-nm Sphere			570	70	10.9	15.6
11-nm Sphere			650	74	16.2	11.4
14-nm Sphere			990	89	28.5	6.0
7-nm Cubic			650	53	14.9	23.6
11-nm Cubic			940	85	28.1	8.1
14-nm Cubic			790	89	23.4	5.9

Fig. 4. In-vitro contrast enhancement analysis of the synthesized SPIONs. SNR and C_{Enh} calculation results show that 11-nm cubic and 14-nm spherical particles have better dual-mode contrast enhancement behavior. Six different samples with 0.4 mM concentration were utilized for T1and T2-weighted MRI imaging. T1-weighted images were using inversion recovery obtained sequences. $TR = 3000 \text{ ms}, TE = 12 \text{ ms}, TI = 700 \text{ ms}. T_2$ -weighted images were obtained using multi-echo spin-echo sequences: TR = 2000 ms, TE = 100 ms. Here, the gray scale display windowing was adjusted to better display the differences between signal levels.

Table 2

Contrast enhancement analysis results of the in vivo experiment.

SNR: signal-to-noise ratio, CNR: contrast-to-noise ratio, CEnh: contrast enhancement.

	T ₁ -weighted			T ₂ -weighted		
Imaging timeline	SNR	CNR	C _{Enh} (%)	SNR	CNR	C _{Enh} (%)
Pre-contrast	34.9	6.3	-	22.2	12.6	-
5 min post-contrast	45.7	17.2	31	18.8	9.2	15
35 min post-contrast	50.6	20.1	45	14.4	4.9	35
70 min post-contrast	57.4	22.3	64	11.4	1.8	48
90 min post-contrast	56.8	21.9	61	11.8	2.0	47
18-hour post-contrast	37.3	9.2	6	20.0	10.6	1

inversion recovery sequence: TI = [24, 60, 90, 120, 200, 400, 800, 1250, 1750, 2000, 2500, 2900] ms, TR = 3000 ms, TE = 12 ms. T₂ values were measured using a multi-echo spin-echo sequences: with TE = [9, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 100, 150] ms, TR = 2000 ms. Other parameters were kept the same for both sequences: slice thickness = 3 mm, field-of-view (FOV) = 74×149 mm, matrix si $ze = 256 \times 256$ pixels.

MR data were quantitatively analyzed off-line with the use of an inhouse software developed in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). A circular region of interest (ROI) was outlined within each vial. For a given pixel within a ROI, the signal intensities at all TI values were gathered, and the T₁ relaxation time was determined via a Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear fitting of these signal intensities to Eq. (3). This was repeated for all pixels within the ROI, and the mean and standard deviation of T₁ was computed across all pixels in the ROI. A similar

these intensities to Eq. (4). The relaxation rates R₁, and R₂ were calculated from the estimated T1 and T2 values. Finally, Molar relaxivities r_1 and r_2 were quantified by a linear fitting of the R_1 or R_2 values to Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively. 2.7. Cytotoxicity

procedure was performed for T_2 measurement, this time gathering the

signal intensity for a given pixel at all TE values, and nonlinear fitting

As recommended by ISO 10993-5:2009, we used L929 mouse cell line to investigate the in-vitro cellular toxicity of 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs. The cell line was grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media (DMEM) solution containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For analysis of cellular visibility, the cell line was cultured in a 96-well plate (n = 3) with different concentrations of 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs. An incubation time of 24 h was utilized [11,12]. After incubation, the 200 µL of supernatant was transferred into the 96-well plate to mix with Alamar Blue solution. After several repetitions, 10 µL of the mixed solution was picked up to count the number of living cells using automated cell counter.

2.8. In-vivo MR imaging

In-vivo MRI experiments were approved by the institutional Animal Ethics Committee and performed by the national standards of animal care. Sprague Dawley rat (250-300 g) was obtained from institutional Animal Laboratory and was maintained in standard laboratory conditions. In-vivo MRI studies were conducted with 3T MRI scanner. The rat



Transversal image

was placed headfirst inside an in-house-developed cylindrical 5-cmdiameter birdcage rat MRI coil. This custom receive coil was used for all of our *in-vivo* experiments.

Due to their small-size advantage and high T_1 - and T_2 -contrast-enhancement (see results), 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs were chosen for the *in-vivo* experiments. MR contrast enhancement simulations were conducted before and after SPION injections at various imaging parameters (TR, TE, and flip angle). The relationships of the signal enhancement with respect to the imaging parameters as function of SPION concentration (22, 23) showed that SPION can act as positive and negative contrast agent. With a short TR, short TE, and large flip angle, the signal intensity increased with the increasing SPION concentration, denoting a T_1 -enhancement effect. Increasing SPION concentration at a long TR values, long TE values, and low flip angles resulted in reduced signal intensity, denoting a T_2 -enhancement effect.

The aim of the *in-vivo* experiments was to show the proof-of-concept feasibility of synergistic contrast enhancement feature of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs *in-vivo*. Our animal experiments did not include an exhaustive analysis of the effects of these nanoparticles on all anatomical organs. Instead, we focused on the kidney as the ROI due to the rapid accumulation of SPIONs in the kidney.

To observe the synergistic contrast effect, healthy anesthetized rat was imaged before, and 5 min, 35 min, 70 min, 90 min, and 18 h after intravenous injection of 1 mg/kg 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs. A conventional spin-echo sequence (TR/TE = 1000 ms / 11 ms, 2 mm

Fig. 5. *In-vivo* T_1 -weighted spin-echo MRI images of a healthy rat, acquired on a 3T MRI scanner. Images were acquired before and 70-min post contrast injection of 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs. A dose of 1 mg/kg of contrast agent was injected *via* the tail vein. Areas of signal enhancement (after injection) indicate approximately 64% contrast improvement in the kidney (marked with red dashed circles). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

slice thickness, and 320 \times 320 matrix size) was performed to obtain T₁-weighted anatomical scans. T₂-weighted spin-echo imaging was conducted with the following parameters: TR/TE = 4000 ms / 90 ms, 2 mm slice thickness, and 320 \times 320 matrix size.

2.9. Contrast enhancement analysis

The *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* SPION-induced contrast enhancement analysis was performed using calculation of contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), SNR, and percentage of contrast enhancement (C_{Enh}).

For *in-vitro* contrast enhancement analysis, 0.4 mM of all six types of SPIONs as well as a reference sample (without contrast material) was prepared on 2 mL vials. We used inversion recovery (TR = 3000 ms, TE = 12 ms and TI = 600 ms) and multi-echo spin-echo (TR = 2000 ms, TE = 100 ms) MRI sequences to analyze the T₁- and T₂-contrast-enhancement, respectively. *In-vivo* contrast enhancement analysis was performed on the images resulting from the experiments described in the *In-vivo* MR imaging section above.

For each image group, SNR analysis was performed to determine the signal variations on the ROI with and without contrast agents. The signal intensities were gathered for all given pixels within a ROI, then mean signal intensity was calculated. The SNR was calculated by dividing mean signal intensity of ROI by standard deviation of noise.

The C_{Enh} in the phantom and *in-vivo* were calculated using the following equation:



Fig. 6. In-vivo T₂-weighted spin-echo MRI images of a healthy rat. acquired on a 3T MRI scanner. Images were acquired before and 70min post contrast injection of 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs. A dose of 1 mg/kg of contrast agent was injected via the tail vein. At 70-min post-injection of the contrast agent, the signal in the kidney became noticeably dark and resulted in approximately 48% contrast enhancement (marked with red dashed circles). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fransversal image

$$C_{Enh} = \left| \frac{I_{post} - I_{pre}}{I_{pre}} \right| \times 100$$
(5)

where I_{post} and I_{pre} are the mean signal intensities of the ROI with and without SPIONs, respectively.

The CNR was calculated using the following equations:

$$CNR = \left| \frac{I_{ROI} - I_{REF}}{\sigma_{N}} \right|$$
(6)

where I_{ROI} and I_{REF} are the mean signal intensities of the ROI and a reference region, respectively. σ_N is the standard deviation of noise. For in-vivo contrast enhancement analysis, we focused on the kidneys as the ROI, and psoas minor muscle was selected as the reference region to calculate SNR, CNR, and C_{Enh} (Fig. 5, 6).

3. Results

3.1. Synthesis of silica-coated SPION and characterization

Spherical and cubic SPIONs with the size of 7 nm, 11 nm, and 14 nm were synthesized using the thermal decomposition method. TEM was utilized for the morphological and structural characterizations of iron oxide nanocrystals. Representative TEM images and a comparison of core sizes of the synthesized SPIONs reveal that the particles are monodisperse, well separated in a solvent, and do not aggregate. An example TEM image corresponding to the 11-nm cubic SPIONs is shown in Fig. 1a. DLS measurements of the same SPIONs are given Fig. 1b, showing a hydrodynamic size of up to ~ 18 nm.

3.2. In-vitro relaxivity analysis of synthesized SPIONs

In-vitro T₁ and T₂ relaxation times of all prepared vial phantoms as a function of the iron concentration were calculated for all samples. The relaxation times were determined by nonlinear fitting of the signal intensities (Fig. 2b). An example T₁-weighted image shown in Fig. 2a shows that mean signal intensities of the phantoms first go down and then increase with increasing iron concentration, due to inversion recovery. In the T₂-weighted images, by increasing the iron concentration the mean signal intensities decrease. As expected, the relaxation rates (R1 and R2) increase linearly with increasing iron concentration of the nanoparticles. More importantly, the longitudinal and transverse molar relaxivities, r1 and r2, of both the spherical and cubic SPIONs show a trend of increasing values with increasing particles size (Fig. 3a, b).

When compared with spherical SPIONs, the cubic SPIONs show a 1.3- to 2.2-fold increase in longitudinal molar (r_1) relaxivity $(r_1:$ 0.5 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ [7-nm sphere]; 1.2 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ [11-nm sphere]; $3.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [14-nm sphere]; $1.1 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [7-nm cubic]; $3.4 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [11-nm cubic]; $4.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [14-nm cubic]; Table 1). Likewise, the cubic SPIONs show 1.7- to 6.3-fold increase in transverse molar (r₂) relaxivity when compared to the spherical SPIONs (r₂: $0.6 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [7-nm sphere]; $9.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [11-nm sphere]; $40 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [14-nm sphere]; $3.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [7-nm cubic]; $36.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [11-nm cubic]; $68.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ [14-nm cubic]; Table 1). These differences can be explained by the dominant surfaceto-volume ratio and spin canting effects of the cubic nanoparticles. In short, cubic samples show better T₁- and T₂-contrast-enhancement compared to their spherical counterparts.

Results show that $\alpha = r_2/r_1$ ratio increased with increasing nanoparticle size for both spherical and cubic SPIONs (a: 1.2 [7-nm sphere]: 8.2 [11-nm sphere]; 12.5 [14-nm sphere]; 3.4 [7-nm cubic]; 10.8 [11nm cubic]; 16.4 [14-nm cubic]; Table 1). Among these six samples, 14nm cubic SPIONs have higher molar relaxivities, but a high α value of $\alpha = 16.4$ at 3 T, which can suffer from T₂-related negative signal voids, especially at high iron concentrations. 11-nm cubic and 14-nm sphere samples possess comparable features ($\alpha_{11 \text{ nm}}$ (cubic) = 10.8, $\alpha_{14 \text{ nm}}$ (sphere) = 12.5 at 3 T) with higher possibility to show the dual-mode contrast effect. To confirm these claims, we have performed T1- and T2weighted contrast enhancement analysis, as shown in Fig. 4. Accordingly, 11-nm cubic and 14-nm sphere SPIONs show the highest SNR and CEnh values on T1-weighted images and lowest SNR and highest CEnh values on T2-weighted images, demonstrating their dual-mode contrast effect. It is interesting to note that the 14-nm cubic SPIONs with the highest r1 relaxivity did not yield the highest CEnh for T1-weighted images. This result was due to the very high r2 relaxivity value of these nanoparticles, which caused a signal reduction even at the relatively short TE of 12 ms for the T1-weighted images. Hence, 11-nm cubic SPIONs as the smaller particles with higher positive and negative contrast effect are selected as an optimal tracer for synergistic MRI experiment for in-vivo studies performed in this work.

3.3. Cell survival

Cellular toxicity of 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs was examined using the L929 mouse cell line with different iron concentrations in ddH_2O . *In-vitro* visibility of the L929 cell showed that more than 90% of the cells survived for 24 h even at a very high concentration of 100 µg Fe mL⁻¹, indicating negligible toxicity.

3.4. In-vivo experiments

In-vivo T1- and T2-contrast-enhancement analysis performed on the selected ROI at six different time points is summarized in Table 2. The rat was injected with 1 mg/kg of contrast agent as described in the Methods section. The analysis on T₁-weighted images showed that SNR, CNR, and CEnh gradually increased as the time progressed, and reached their maximum values at 70-min post-contrast. No significant changes with respect to maximum value (at 70-min post-contrast) were seen at 90-min post-contrast. On the other hand, the analysis on T₂-weighted images showed that SNR and CNR decreased as time progressed and reached their lowest values at 70-min post-contrast. This reduction in SNR and CNR is expected due to the negative contrast effect of SPIONs in T2-weighted images. Importantly, CEnh gradually increased to reach a maximum at 70-min post contrast, indicating the T2-contrast-enhancement capability of the 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs. For both T₁-weighted and T₂-weighted images, SNR, CNR, and C_{Enh} metrics returned back to values close to their pre-contrast levels at 18-hour postcontrast, which indicates a half-life less than 18 h for 11-nm cubic SPIONs.

In-vivo T_1 - and T_2 -weighted spin-echo images in rat kidney pre- and 70-min post-injection of the 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. The first, second, and third rows of the figures show coronal, sagittal, and transversal images from spin-

echo acquisitions before and after injection of the contrast agent, respectively. At 70-min post-injection, the kidney region appears brighter on the T₁-weighted spin-echo images, demonstrating the positive contrast improvement of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs. The ROI is surrounded by the red dashed circle (Fig. 5). Likewise, abdominal T₂-weighted spinecho images taken 70 min after injection show that kidney turned to darker, demonstrating the negative contrast-enhancement of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs. The negatively-enhanced region that we focused as ROI is marked by the red dashed circle (Fig. 6).

The analysis of the C_{Enh} and SNR in the kidney region at 70-min post-injection indicated that contrast is enhanced in the region of interest on both the T₁- and T₂-weighted acquisitions. As given in Table 2, post-injection C_{Enh} analysis in the kidney region resulted in 64% contrast enhancement on T₁-weighted transversal images. Also, C_{Enh} study reported 48% contrast enhancement on T₂-weighted transversal images. These results demonstrate the feasibility of using the 11-nm cubic SPIONs to synergistically enhance the contrast on both T₁- and T₂-weighted *in-vivo* MR imaging.

4. Discussion

The need for a synergistic positive and negative contrast enhancing and safe MRI contrast agent that can be utilized in clinical diagnosis has attracted the interest of many research groups [18,19]. The combination of both T₁-contrast-enhanced image with high spatial resolution and T2-contrast-enhanced image with increased lesion detection capability allows complementary anatomic and pathologic information [20]. In this study, by controlling the shape and size of the SPIONs, we targeted a new class of synergistic MRI contrast agents. We synthesized two different groups of nanoparticles, in spherical and cubic shapes. Our investigations resulted in two main findings: First, experimental analysis on both the spherical and cubic iron oxides showed an increase of r_1 and r_2 as the size increases. More importantly, in comparing the two groups of the synthesized SPIONs, cubic SPIONs showed higher molar relaxivities $(r_1 \text{ and } r_2)$ than their spherical counterparts. This observation can be explained by increased surface-to-volume ratio and spin canting effects in the large surfaces and sharp edges of the cubic samples [26,27]. Also, $\alpha = r_2/r_1$ ratio was larger for the same-sized cubic nanoparticles when compared to spherical nanoparticles, because of the relatively large increases in r2. In-vitro contrast enhancement analysis results showed that 11-nm cubic SPIONs can be an optimal sample as a synergistic contrast agent for in-vivo MRI experiments.

Second, as a proof-of-concept feasibility study, we showed that 11-nm cubic SPIONs are promising candidates as a single agent for synergistic contrast in MRI. The results of the *in-vivo* experiments were in agreement with the *in-vitro* relaxivity and contrast enhancement analysis of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs. It should be noted that the *in-vivo* SNR and C_{Enh} was lower than the *in-vitro* case, which could be due to the reduced *in-vivo* iron concentration in the kidney, as well as environmental differences between the *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* cases (such as the T_1 and T_2 of the *in-vitro* medium *vs.* that of the kidney tissue before injection), and differences in pulse sequence parameters.

Gadolinium complexes are the most commonly used T_1 contrast agents in MRI. In contrast to SPIONs, however, gadolinium complexes have short blood half-life. As reported in the literature, MR images show high contrast immediately after injection of gadolinium, but this effect vanishes rapidly. The SPIONs are shown to maintain the enhancement of contrast at a high value for more than 1 h, while the gadolinium-enhanced contrast drops within 3 min [15]. This rapid reduction restricts the usage of gadolinium complexes in conjunction with long scan time (*e.g.*, longer than 10 min). The contrast enhancement analysis of the proposed cubic SPIONs also showed that the highest contrast level was maintained at a constant level between 70-min and 90-min after injection, providing a wide window for *in-vivo* imaging. Furthermore, the free gadolinium ions from various labels of gadolinium complexes are known to be very toxic [31,32]. SPIONs, on the other hand, are known to have increased biocompatibility and can even be used safely for iron therapy in humans [33].

By taking advantage of both positive and negative contrast effect using a single contrast material, the proposed contrast agent may be used as a promising synergistic contrast tracer. It should be noted that the MR signal intensity and contrast effects are dependent on image acquisition parameters, particularly TR, TE and flip angle [22], as well as the magnetic property of contrast materials. This work did not aim to optimize the imaging parameters, but rather focused on optimizing the nanoparticles based on their size and shape characteristics. Accordingly, the *in-vivo* experiments were geared towards showing the feasibility of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode contrast agent. Investigating the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic effects of these nanoparticles on different organs using complementary *in-vivo* MRI experiments remains as important future work.

5. Conclusion

In this study, by controlling the shape and size of SPIONs, we have synthesized a new class of synergistic (dual-mode) MRI contrast agent. The *in-vitro* contrast enhancement analysis showed that the synthesized 11-nm cubic SPIONs with small size have high dual-contrast effect, suitable for use during *in-vivo* imaging. Results of the toxicity analysis showed that the antagonistic effects of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs on the L929 line cell were very low even at high concentrations of the contrast agent. The feasibility of the synergistic contrast effect was investigated *in-vivo* on a 3T MRI scanner, demonstrating high levels of contrast enhancement 70-min post-injection. These results demonstrate the promising potential of the synthesized 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs as a synergistic MRI contrast agent.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Gamze Aykut, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Bilkent University, Ankara, Taner Demir and Dr. Volkan Acikel, Bilkent University, Ankara, for their assistance in *in-vivo* experiments, and all UMRAM staff for providing technical support. The authors also gratefully thank scientific discussion of Dr. Tolga Cukur. We would like to acknowledge Biomaten (METU) for the cytotoxicity experiments.

References

- Hoult DI, Lauterbur PC. The sensitivity of the zeugmatographic experiment involving human samples. J Magn Reson 1979;34:425–33.
- [2] Wright GA. Magnetic resonance imaging. IEEE Signal Process Mag 1997;14:56-66.
- [3] Clarke SE, Weinmann HJ, Dai E, Lucas AR, Rutt BK. Comparison of two blood pool contrast agents for 0.5-T MR angiography: experimental study in rabbits. Radiology 2000;214:787–94.
- [4] Thorek DL, Ulmert D, Diop NF, Lupu ME, Doran MG, Huang R, et al. Non-invasive mapping of deep-tissue lymph nodes in live animals using a multimodal PET/MRI nanoparticle. Nat Commun 2014;5:3097. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4097.
- [5] Klug G, Kampf T, Bloemer S, Bremicker J, Ziener CH, Heymer A, et al. Intracellular and extracellular T₁ and T₂ relaxivities of magneto-optical nanoparticles at experimental high fields. Magn Reson Med 2010;64(6):1607–15. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/mrm.22557.
- [6] Lee S, Kim B, Na B, Hyeon T. Paramagnetic inorganic nanoparticles as T₁ MRI contrast agents. WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol 2014;6:196–209. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wnan.1243.
- [7] Zachary RS, Forrest M, Kievit A, Zhang M. Magnetite nanoparticles for medical MR imaging. Mater Today 2011;14:330–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(11) 70163-8.
- [8] Au-Hui LU, Salabas EL, Schuth F. Magnetic nanoparticles: synthesis, protection, functionalization, and application. Angew Chem 2007;46:1222–44. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/anie.200602866.
- [9] Huber ME, Paetsch I, Schnackenburg B, Bornstedt A, Nagel E, Fleck E, et al.

Performance of a new gadolinium-based intravascular contrast agent in freebreathing inversion-recovery 3D coronary MRA. Magn Reson Med 2003;49:115–21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10350.

- [10] Kuo PH, Kanal E, Abu-Alfa AK, Cowper SE. Gadolinium-based MR contrast agents and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis. Radiology 2007;242:647–9. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1148/radiol.2423061640.
- [11] Ziener CH, Bauer WR, Jakob PM. Transverse relaxation of cells labeled with magnetic nanoparticles. Magn Reson Med 2005;54(3):702–6. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1002/mrm.20634.
- [12] Yi-Xiang JW, Shouhu X, Marc P, Jean-Marc I. Recent advances in superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for cellular imaging and targeted therapy research. Curr Pharm Des 2013;19(37):6575–93.
- [13] Sharifi S, Seyednejad H, Laurent S, Atyabi F, Saei A, Mahmoudi M. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for in vivo molecular and cellular imaging. Contrast Media Mol Imaging 2015;10:329–55. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1002/cmmi.1638.
- [14] Chris VB, Xiaowei Z, George S, Paula JG, Brian KR. Application of the static dephasing regime theory to superparamagnetic iron-oxide loaded cells. Magn Reson Med 2002;48:52–61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10192.
- [15] Byung HK, Nohyun L, Hyoungsu K, Hyoungsu K, Kwangjin A, Yong P. Large-scale synthesis of uniform and extremely small-sized iron oxide nanoparticles for highresolution T1 magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents. J Am Chem Soc 2011;133:12624–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja203340u.
- [16] Lee N, Choi Y, Lee Y, Park M, Moon WK, Choi SH, et al. Water-dispersible ferrimagnetic iron oxide nanocubes with extremely high r2 relaxivity for highly sensitive in vivo MRI of tumors. Nano Lett 2012;12(6):3127–31. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1021/nl3010308.
- [17] Lee CM, Jeong HJ, Kim EM, Kim DW, Lim ST, Kim HT, et al. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles as a dual imaging probe for targeting hepatocytes in vivo. Magn Reson Med 2009;62(6):1440–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22123.
- [18] Girard OM, Du J, Agemy L, Sugahara KN, Kotamraju VR, Ruoslahti E, et al. Optimization of iron oxide nanoparticle detection using ultrashort echo time pulse sequences: comparison of T₁, T₂*, and synergistic T₁-T₂* contrast mechanisms. Magn Reson Med 2011;65:1649–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22755.
- [19] Bae KH, Kim YB, Lee Y, Hwang JY, Park HW, Park TG. Bioinspired synthesis and characterization of gadolinium-labeled magnetite nanoparticles for dual contrast T1-and T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. Bioconjug Chem 2010;21(3):505–12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bc900424u.
- [20] Sharma VK, Alipour A, Soran-Erdem Z, Kelestemur Y, Aykut ZG, Demir HV. Fluorescent heterodoped nanotetrapods as synergistically enhancing positive and negative MRI contrast agents. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2016;8(19):12352–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b02407.
- [21] Zijian Z, Dengtong H, Jianfeng B, Qiaoli C, Gang L, Zhong C, et al. A synergistically enhanced T₁-T₂ dual-modal contrast agent. Adv Mater 2012;24:6223–8. http://dx. doi.org/10.1002/adma.201203169.
- [22] Jung H, Park B, Lee C, Cho J, Suh J, Park J, et al. Dual MRI T₁ and T₂* contrast with size-controlled iron oxide nanoparticles. Nanomedicine 2014;10:1679–89. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2014.05.003.
- [23] Kwon H, Shim W, Cho G, Cho HJ, Jung HS, Lee CK, et al. Simultaneous evaluation of vascular morphology, blood volume and transvascular permeability using SPIONbased, dual-contrast MRI: imaging optimization and feasibility test. NMR Biomed 2015;28(6):624–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3293.
- [24] Bhatti ML, Marin D, Nelson R. Emerging applications for ferumoxytol as a contrast agent in MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging 2015;41(4):884–98. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1002/imri.24691.
- [25] Berry CC, Curtis AG. Functionalisation of magnetic nanoparticles for applications in biomedicine. J Phys D Appl Phys 2003;36:198–206.
- [26] Batlle X, Labarta A. Finite-size effects in fine particles: magnetic and transport properties. J Phys D Appl Phys 2002;35:15–42.
- [27] Zhenghuan Z, Zijian Z, Jianfeng B, Wang Z, Hu J, Chi X, et al. Octapod iron oxide nanoparticles as high performance T2 contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. Nat Commun 2013;3266. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3266.
- [28] Koenig SH, Kellar KE. Theory of 1/T1 and 1/T2 NMRD profiles of solutions of magnetic nanoparticles. Magn Reson Med 1995;34(2):227–33.
- [29] Buxton RB, Edelman RR, Rosen BR, Wismer GL, Brady TJ. Contrast in rapid MR imaging-T₁-weighted and T₂-weighted imaging. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1987;11:7–16.
- [30] Rock A, Muller RN, Gillis P. Thery of proton relaxation induced by superparamagnetic particles. J Chem Phys 1999;110:5403–11.
- [31] Penfield JG, Reilly RF. What nephrologists need to know about gadolinium. Nat Clin Pract Nephrol 2007;3(12):654–68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncpneph0660.
- [32] Warsi MF, Adams RW, Duckett SB, Chechik V. Gd-functionalised Au nanoparticles as targeted contrast agents in MRI: relaxivity enhancement by polyelectrolyte coating. Chem Commun 2010;21;46(3):451–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/ b915223g.
- [33] Lu M, Cohen MH, Rieves D, Pazdur R. FDA report: ferumoxytol for intravenous iron therapy in adult patients with chronic kidney disease. Am J Hematol 2010;85:315–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajh.21656.